



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/040,857	12/28/2001	J. William Whikehart	10541/396 (V200-0690)	2803	
29074	7590 05/20/2005		EXAM	INER	
VISTEON			CHOW, CHARLES CHIANG		
C/O BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE					
PO BOX 10395			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60610			2685		
		DATE MAILED: 05/20/2005			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/040,857	WHIKEHART ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Charles Chow	2685				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) daysill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 December 2004.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5, 9-32 is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-5, 9-32 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers		•				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Extended to be the Extended to the ext		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	. 🗖					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 3/29/2005. 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 2685

Detailed Action

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: In [0027], "the second mixer circuit 214" is incorrect, because the second mixer circuit should be "216" instead of "214". Appropriate correction is required. <u>Applicant did not respond to this objection yet</u>, since last office action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 17-18, 22, 24, 28-32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Baltus et al. (US 5,887,247).

Regarding claim 17, Baltus et al. (Baltus) teaches method for beam steering control in a vehicle radio receiver (the mobile phone, car radio in col. 1, lines 8-17 and col. 3, lines 56-65; the updating fixed beam with scanned beam in abstract; the scanning antenna 4 for resetting, updating, fixed antenna beam-pattern, abstract, col. 2, lines 1-42, Fig. 2-4, mobile, car radio in col. 1, lines 8-16), generating a receiver signal in response to a first radio signal and a second radio signal, where the receiver signal has a receiver signal quality (the output of combiner 23 is a receiver signal which is generated by combining a first radio signal from fixed antenna 3 with a second radio signal from antenna 4 via variable phase shift 24) where the receiver signal has a receiver signal quality (the receiver signal 30 is evaluated for signal quality, SNIR, at quality circuit 29, col. 4, lines 36-49), generating a test signal in response to a first test steering solution (the generating a test signal at the output of combiner 25 in response to the control circuit 28 to switch over to scanning mode, when

quality of the fixed beam is lower then the scanning beam, col. 6, line 41 to col. 7, line 8; the first steering solution at the output of combiner 25 which is determined by the determining circuit 29 to digital control 28 for switching over from a beam receiving mode to a beam scanning mode in col. 4, lines 6, lines 6-47), where the first test steering solution represents a proportion of the first and second radio signals in the test signal (in the scanning mode, the steering solution at the output of combiner 25 determined by 29 comprising the proportional of the first radio signal, from fixed antenna 3 and the second radio signal from scanning antenna 4, in the test signal for outputting to determining circuit 29 via 31, Fig. 2; col. 4, lines 21-49; col. 4, lines 36-49; next quality in steps 134 for looping back to step 133 in Fig. 10), resetting the receiver signal in response to the first test steering solution when the test signal quality exceeds the receiver signal quality (resetting, updating, the fixed beam in response to the first test steering solution determined by determining circuit 29 to switch over at 27, for the combined signal at the output of combiner 25 having better test quality, SNIR, BER, than receiver signal quality at the output of 30, col. 2, lines 35-42, abstract; the updating beam pattern in col. 4, lines 44-49; the determining of the signal quality, SNIR, BER in col. 6, lines 6-31; the interchange the receiving beam pattern in col. 6, lines 41-65). Regarding claim 18, Baltus teaches the first and second radio signals comprising radio frequency RF signals at antennas 3, 4 for mobile phone (col. 3, lines 63-63; for TDMA, CDMA, in coll. 3, lines 7-14).

Regarding **claim 22**, Baltus teaches selecting a second test steering solution, generating a new test signal in response to the second test steering solution, the new test signal having a new test signal quality (the scanned quality is not better in step 133, the performing next scan direction & quality in step 134 and returning to step 133 for re-evaluating scan-better, Fig. 10, col. 7, lines 19-38).

Regarding claim 24, Baltus teaches a method for bean steering control in a vehicle radio receiver (the mobile phone, car radio in col. 1, lines 8-17 and col. 3, lines 56-65; the updating fixed beam with scanned beam in abstract), generating a receiver signal in response to a first radio signal and a second radio signal (the combiner 5 for combining phase shifted radio signal from scanning antenna 4 with the radio signal from fixed antenna 3, Fig. 2), measuring a receiver quality of the received signal (step 132, Fig. 10), generating a first test steering solution in response to the first radio signal; measuring a first test signal quality of the first test signal responsive to the first test steering solution (the quality circuit 29 evaluating quality of 30 having the first fixed antenna signal; the generating first test steering when step 132, Fig. 10, determines better SNIR to perform swap beam 1 and beam 2 in step 135; otherwise, to move to nest scan direction & quality at step 134, Fig. 10), resetting the receiver in response to the first test steering solution when the first test signal quality exceed the receiver signal quality (updates the beam for receiving mode with beam found in scanning mode in col. 2, lines 35-42, abstract; the updating beam pattern in col. 4, lines 44-49; the determining of the signal quality, SNIR, BER in col. 6, lines 6-31; the interchange the receiving beam pattern in col. 6, lines 41-65).

Regarding claim 28, Baltus teaches generating a second test steering solution in response to the second radio signal (the phase shifted second scanning signal from phase shift 26 for continuously generating second test steering solution in steps 13, 134 Fig. 10; the continuous scanning in col. 2, lines 22-27), measuring a second test signal quality of a second test signal responsive to the second test steering solution (the next scan direction & quality in step 132), the resetting the receiver signal in response to the second test steering solution when the second test quality exceeds the receiver signal quality ("the scan better?"

of the second test steering in step 133, if it is better quality, swap beam 1 and beam 2 in step 135, otherwise move to next scan direction & test quality in step 134).

Regarding **claim 29**, Baltus teaches generating a third test steering solution in response to the first and second radio signals (the combined signal at combiner 25 for continuously generating second test steering solution in steps 13, 134 Fig. 10; the continuous scanning in col. 2, lines 22-27), measuring a third test signal quality of a second test signal responsive to the third test steering solution (the next scan direction & quality in step 132), the resetting the receiver signal in response to the third test steering solution when the third test quality exceeds the receiver signal quality (in the loop of 133, 134, "the scan better?" of the third test steering in step 133, if it is better quality, swap beam 1 and beam 2 in step 135; otherwise move to next scan direction & test quality in step 134).

Regarding **claim 30**, Baltus teaches generating a new test steering solution in response to the first and second radio signals (the combined signal at combiner 25 for continuously generating second test steering solution in steps 13, 134 Fig. 10; the continuous scanning in col. 2, lines 22-27), measuring a new test signal quality of a second test signal responsive to the third test steering solution (the next scan direction & quality in step 132), the resetting the receiver signal in response to the new test steering solution when the third test quality exceeds the receiver signal quality (in the loop of 133, 134, "the scan better?" of the third test steering in step 133, if it is better quality, swap beam 1 and beam 2 in step 135; otherwise move to next scan direction & test quality in step 134).

Regarding **claim 31**, Baltus teaches wherein the first mixer circuit is operable to change the combination of the first and second signals for generating the receiver signal by the first mixer circuit based on the different combination of the first and second signals and in response to the test signal when the test signal quality exceeds the receiver signal quality

Art Unit: 2685

(the first mixer combiner 23, or 25 in Fig. 9, for resetting the antenna first and second signals with different phase shifted signal combinations found in scanning testing mode, to update, reset, the antenna signal combination from antennas 3, 4; abstract, Fig. 9, col.2, lines 35-42; col. 7, lines 9-18; col. 8, lines 38-43, the best received, quality exceeding, signal having first and second phase shifter, to reset the antenna signal combination with different phase shift, col. 10, lines 46-53; col. 10, lines 18-45).

Page 6

Regarding **claim 32**, Baltus teaches wherein the first mixer circuit is operable to change the combination of the first and second signals for generating the receiver signal by the first mixer circuit to match the different combination of the first and second signals in response to the test signal when the test signal quality exceeds the receiver signal quality (the first mixer combiner 23, or 25 in Fig. 9, for resetting the antenna first and second signals with different phase shifted signal combinations found in scanning testing mode, to update, reset, the antenna signal combination from antennas 3, 4; abstract, Fig. 9, col.2, lines 35-42; col. 7, lines 9-18; col. 8, lines 38-43, the best received, quality exceeding, signal having first and second phase shifter, to reset the antenna signal combination with different phase shift, col. 10, lines 46-53; col. 10, lines 18-45).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strenglein (US 3,864,633) in view of Baltus et al. (US 5,887,247).

Regarding claim 1, Strenglein teaches a radio receiver (space diversity receiving system in Fig. 6) comprising a first mixer circuit (hybrid circuit 60) operable to generate a receiver signal from first and second signals (hybrid circuit 61 receiving down converted signal from power divider 55 and power divider 54 via phase shifter 57, Fig. 6), the receiver signal characterized by a receiver signal quality (hybrid circuit 60, 61 to produce sum, difference signals 62, 63, 64, 65 to diversity translator 66 for evaluating of best signal to noise characteristics, col. 5, lines 56-65, maximize reception signal to noise ratio), and a second mixer circuit (hybrid 60) operable to generate a test signal from a different combination of the first and second signals (the readjusting signal to noise ratio by scanning phase shift 58 for better signal to noise ratio from sum, difference signals 62, 63, col. 5, lines 44-65; col. 9, lines 1-19), the test signal characterized by a test signal quality (the evaluation of signal to noise ratio performed by diversity selector 66 for the sum, difference signals 62-65, produced by scanning the shift shifter scanners 57-58 in col. col. 5, lines 56-65; the automatic steering of the reception pattern in col. 2, lines 12-26; the spaced antenna in col. 2, lines 16-17). Strenglein fails to teach the vehicle radio, the first mixer circuit is operable to reset the combination of the first and second signals. However, Baltus et al. (Baltus) teaches the mobile car radio (mobile, car radio, GPS in col. 1, lines 8-16), the first mixer circuit is operable to reset the combination of the first and second signals for the receiver signal in response to the test signal when the test signal quality exceeds the receiver signal quality (the scanning of antenna 4 for generating new combined antenna signals at combiner 25, for testing the best signal-to-noise-interference-ratio SNIR to update, reset, antenna pattern of the fixed antenna 3 with scanned antenna beam-pattern in abstract, col. 2, lines 10-42; Fig. 10; col. 7, lines 41-65, col. 2, lines 11-27; the combiner 23, 25 for combining received signals from fixed antenna 3 and scanning antenna 4 in Fig. 2-4 and col. 4, lines 12-49; the

scanning physical angle is converted to electrical phase angel in col. 1, line 2-8; the one antenna in receive-mode, other in scanning mode for better beam for interchange, update, the beam pattern in col. 4, lines 44-49; the determining of the signal quality, SNIR, BER in col. 6, lines 6-31; the interchange the receiving beam pattern in col. 6, lines 41-65; the antenna pattern is depending upon the physical angle shifted at the antenna). Baltus teaches the improved technique for mobile radio for efficiently steering antenna by utilizing a scanning antenna beam to update the fixed reception antenna for best signal quality (col. 1, line 21 to col. 2, line 27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Strenglein with Baltus' resetting, updating, fixed antenna beam-pattern with scanned antenna beam pattern for best reception beam, such that the car radio could receive the best signal.

Regarding **claim 2**, Strenglein teaches a second mixer circuit (hybrid 60) operable to generate a test signal from a different combination of the first and second signals (the readjusting signal to noise ratio by scanning phase shift 58 for better signal to noise ratio from sum, difference signals 62, 63, col. 5, lines 44-65; col. 9, lines 1-19), the test signal characterized by a test signal quality (the evaluation of signal to noise ratio performed by diversity selector 66 for the sum, difference signals 62-65, produced by scanning the shift shifter scanners 57-58 in col. col. 5, lines 56-65; the automatic steering of the reception pattern in col. 2, lines 12-26; the spaced antenna in col. 2, lines 16-17).

Baltus teaches the mobile car radio (mobile, car radio, GPS in col. 1, lines 8-16), the first mixer circuit is operable to reset the combination of the first and second signals for the receiver signal in response to the test signal when the test signal quality exceeds the receiver signal quality (the scanning of antenna 4 for generating new combined antenna signals at combiner 25, for testing the best signal-to-noise-interference-ratio to update,

Art Unit: 2685

reset, antenna pattern of the fixed antenna 3 with scanned antenna beam-pattern in abstract, col. 2, lines 10-42; Fig. 10; col. 7, lines 41-65, col. 2, lines 11-27; the combiner 23, 25 for combining received signals from fixed antenna 3 and scanning antenna 4 in Fig. 2-4 and col. 4, lines 12-49; the scanning physical angle is converted to electrical phase angel in col. 1, line 2-8; the one antenna in receive-mode, other in scanning mode for better beam for interchange, update, the beam pattern in col. 4, lines 44-49; the determining of the signal quality, SNIR, BER in col. 6, lines 6-31; the interchange the receiving beam pattern in col. 6, lines 41-65; the antenna pattern is depending upon the physical angle shifted at the antenna). Baltus teaches the improved technique for mobile radio for efficiently steering antenna by utilizing a scanning antenna beam to update the fixed reception antenna for best signal quality (col. 1, line 21 to col. 2, line 27).

Page 9

Regarding **claim 6**, Strenglein teaches a first tuner operable to generate the first signal from a first radio frequency RF signal (the mixer 50, the IF amplifier 52 for generating first signal from antenna 10, Fig. 6); and a second tuner operable to generate the second signal from a second radio frequency RF signal (the mixer 51, the IF amplifier 53 for generating second signal from antenna 22, Fig. 6), where the first tuner is configured to receive the first RF signal from a first antenna (antenna 10), where the second tuner is configured to receive the second RF signal from a second antenna (11), where the first and second antenna are disposed at different positions (the spaced antennas in col. 2, lines 16-17).

4. Claims 3, 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strenglein in view of Baltus, and further in view of Wildhagen (US 2002/0168,955 A1).
Regarding claim 3, Strenglein and Baltus fail to teach the FM in about 88 MHz through about 108 MHz. However, Wildhagen teaches the first and second RF signals

Art Unit: 2685

comprising FM signals in range of about 88 MHz through about 108 MHz ([0012, 0019-0020, 0025], Fig. 1-2), for improving the multipath distortion of the received broadcast signal (abstract), having combined antenna weight adjustment based on the field strength and quality Q1-Q2, of the difference, sum signal (Fig. [0009-0013], for reducing the noise and multipath distortion in FM frequency band (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Strenglein above with Wildhagen's improving multipath distortion of the received signal from FM frequency band, such that the radio could receive better FM signal with less multipath distortion.

Regarding claim 7, Strenglein teaches the at least one receiver signal quality and the test signal quality comprising signal noise (the signal to noise in abstract, col. 5, lines 44-65). Wildhagen teaches the at least one signal strength (the control unit 4 receives two signal strength for determining of the variable weight for summed, difference signals [0018-0019].

Page 10

5. Claims 4, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strenglein in view of Baltus, Wildhagen and further in view of Kuo et al. (US 6,064,865).
Regarding claim 4, Strenglein, Baltus, Wildhagen fail to teach the radio data signals RDS.
However, Kuo teaches the first and second rf signals comprise RDS signals (decoding of RDs signals at RDS decoder 34, from the signal output of summer 32, col. 3, lines 40-43, Fig. 5), the steering antenna weight for combining signals from antennas 24, 25 having tuners 27-28 and the generating new antenna pattern (Fig. 5, abstract; col. 1, lines 6-11; col. 4, lines 56 to col. 5, line 18), the antenna configuration 45, the noise modification control 44 (Fig. 5), the combining MPX signal at summer 32. Kuo teaches a proportional diversity system for improving the reception signal by reducing the adjacent channel, co-channel interference (col. 1, line 22 to col. 2, line 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Strenglein above with Kuo's RDS signal, such that receiver could receive better RDS information.

Regarding **claim 8**, Kuo teaches the signal processing circuit connected to the first mixer circuit, the signal processing circuit operable to generate an audio signal in response to the receiver signal (the audio processor 35 in Fig. 5, for producing stereo audio, col. 3, lines 43-49).

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Strenglein in view of Baltus, and further in view of Kuo et al.-'865.

Regarding **claim 5**, Strenglein, Baltus, fail to teach the first and second signals comprise at least one of an intermediate frequency IF signal and a multiplex MPX signal. However, Kuo teaches the first and second signals comprise at least one of an intermediate frequency IF signal and a multiplex MPX signal (the down converted IF signals from tuner 27-28, Fig. 5, the combining of MPX signals at combiner 32), the steering antenna weight for combining signals from antennas 24, 25 having tuners 27-28 and the generating new antenna pattern (Fig. 5, abstract; col. 1, lines 6-11; col. 4, lines 56 to col. 5, line 18), the antenna configuration 45, the noise modification control 44 (Fig. 5), the combining MPX signal at summer 32. Kuo teaches a proportional diversity system for improving the reception signal by reducing the adjacent channel, co-channel interference (col. 1, line 22 to col. 2, line 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Strenglein above with Kuo's RDS signal, such that receiver could receive better RDS information.

7. Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welles, II et al. (US 6,577,353 B1) in view of Baltus-'247.

Regarding claim 9, Welles II et al. (Welles) teaches a first mixer circuit operable to generate a radio rf receiver signal characterized by receiver steering solution, the receiver steering solution representing a proportion of a first and a second rf signal in the rf receiver signal (the combiner 104 for combing antenna signals from antennas 101-103, Fig. 1, for connecting output signal to ATSC tuner 108; for steering, selecting, antenna combination having strongest combined signal in abstract, with the antenna proportional signal control via attenuation, and phase control of the rf signal as shown in Fig. 2-3), a second mixer circuit operable to generate an rf combined signal representing a proportion of a first and a second rf signal in the rf receiver signal (the combiner 105 for combining antenna signals from antennas 101-103 with proportion attenuation, phase control, Fig. 1-3), the first tuner (108) is connected to the first mixer (104) to generate a receiver signal in response to the rf receiver signal, having receiver quality (evaluation module 111 for measure the signal strength, quality in col. 4, line 63 to col. 5, line 14), the second tuner (109) is connected to the first mixer (105) to generate a receiver signal in response to the rf receiver signal, having receiver quality (evaluation module 111 for measure the signal strength, quality in col. 4, line 63 to col. 5, line 14), Welles fails to teach the vehicle radio receiver, the reset the rf receiver to the test steering solution when the test signal quality exceed the receiver quality. However, Baltus teaches the vehicle radio receiver (mobile, car radio in col. 12, lines 8-16, col. 3, lines 56-65), the second mixer for generating test signal via the scanning antenna 4, the phase shift 26. Baltus teaches the first mixer circuit is operable to reset rf receiver in response to the test steering solution when the test signal quality exceeds the receiver signal quality (the scanning of antenna 4 for generating new combined antenna signals at

combiner 25, for testing the best signal-to-noise-interference-ratio SNIR to update, reset, antenna pattern of the fixed antenna 3 with scanned antenna beam-pattern in abstract, col. 2, lines 10-42; Fig. 10; col. 7, lines 41-65, col. 2, lines 11-27; the combiner 23, 25 for combining received signals from fixed antenna 3 and scanning antenna 4 in Fig. 2-4 and col. 4, lines 12-49; the scanning physical angle is converted to electrical phase angel in col. 1, line 2-8; the one antenna in receive-mode, other in scanning mode for better beam for interchange, update, the beam pattern in col. 4, lines 44-49; the determining of the signal quality, SNIR, BER in col. 6, lines 6-31; the interchange the receiving beam pattern in col. 6, lines 41-65; the antenna pattern is depending upon the physical angle shifted at the antenna). Baltus teaches the improved technique for mobile radio for efficiently steering antenna by utilizing a scanning antenna beam to update the fixed reception antenna for best signal quality (col. 1, line 21 to col. 2, line 27). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Welles with Baltus's resetting, updating, fixed antenna beam-pattern with scanned antenna beam pattern for best reception beam, such that the car radio could receive the best signal.

Regarding **claim 10**, Baltus teaches a second mixer circuit (25) operable to generate a test signal from a different combination of the first and second signals (the generating new rf signal combination by scanning antenna 4 from combiner 25), the test signal characterized by a test signal quality (the new, next scan direction, quality, step 134, is evaluated by quality circuit 29). Baltus teaches the mobile car radio (mobile, car radio, GPS in col. 1, lines 8-16), the first mixer circuit is operable to reset the combination of the first and second signals for the receiver signal in response to the test signal when the test signal quality exceeds the receiver signal quality (the scanning of antenna 4 for generating new combined antenna signals at combiner 25, for testing the best signal-to-noise-interference-ratio to

Art Unit: 2685

update, reset, antenna pattern of the fixed antenna 3 with scanned antenna beam-pattern in abstract, col. 2, lines 10-42; Fig. 10; col. 7, lines 41-65, col. 2, lines 11-27; the combiner 23, 25 for combining received signals from fixed antenna 3 and scanning antenna 4 in Fig. 2-4 and col. 4, lines 12-49; the scanning physical angle is converted to electrical phase angel in col. 1, line 2-8; the one antenna in receive-mode, other in scanning mode for better beam for interchange, update, the beam pattern in col. 4, lines 44-49; the determining of the signal quality, SNIR, BER in col. 6, lines 6-31; the interchange the receiving beam pattern in col. 6, lines 41-65; the antenna pattern is depending upon the physical angle shifted at the antenna).

Page 14

- 8. Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welles in view of Baltus, and further in view of Wildhagen-'955 A1.
 - Regarding claim 11, Welles and Baltus fail to teach the FM frequency band about 88-108 Mhz. HoweverWildhagen teaches the first and second RF signals comprising FM signals in range of about 88 MHz through about 108 MHz ([0012, 0019-0020, 0025], Fig. 1-2), for improving the multipath distortion of the received broadcast signal (abstract), having combined antenna weight adjustment based on the field strength and quality Q1-Q2, of the difference, sum signal (Fig. [0009-0013], for reducing the noise and multipath distortion in FM frequency band (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Welles above with Wildhagen's improving multipath distortion of the received signal from FM frequency band
- 9. Claims 12-13, 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welles in view of Baltus, and further in view of Kuo-'865.

Art Unit: 2685

Page 15

Regarding claim 12, Welles and Baltus fail to teach the IF frequency and MPX. However, Kuo teaches the first and second signals comprise at least one of an intermediate frequency IF signal and a multiplex MPX signal (the down converted IF signals from tuner 27-28, Fig. 5, the combining of MPX signals at combiner 32), the steering antenna weight for combining signals from antennas 24, 25 having tuners 27-28 and the generating new antenna pattern (Fig. 5, abstract; col. 1, lines 6-11; col. 4, lines 56 to col. 5, line 18), the antenna configuration 45, the noise modification control 44 (Fig. 5), the combining MPX signal at summer 32. Kuo teaches a proportional diversity system for improving the reception signal by reducing the adjacent channel, co-channel interference (col. 1, line 22 to col. 2, line 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Welles above with Kuo's RDS signal, such that receiver could receive better RDS information.

Regarding claim 13, Kuo teaches the first and second rf signals comprise RDS signals (decoding of RDs signals at RDS decoder 34, from the signal output of summer 32, col. 3, lines 40-43, Fig. 5), the steering antenna weight for combining signals from antennas 24, 25 having tuners 27-28 and the generating new antenna pattern (Fig. 5, abstract; col. 1, lines 6-11; col. 4, lines 56 to col. 5, line 18),

Regarding claim 16, Kuo teaches the signal processing circuit connected to the first mixer circuit, the signal processing circuit operable to generate an audio signal in response to the receiver signal (the audio processor 35 in Fig. 5, for producing stereo audio, col. 3, lines 43-49).

 Claims 14-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welles in view of Baltus, and further in view of Strenglein-'633.

Art Unit: 2685

Regarding claim 14, Welles teaches the first and second mixers (104, 105) are configured to receive the first rf signal from the first antenna (101) and the second rf signal from a second antenna (102). Welles and Baltus failed to teach the antennas disposed at different positions. However, Strenglein teaches the first and second antennas are disposed at different positions (spaced apart antennas in col. 2, lines 16-17). Strenglein teaches the improved method for automatic steering of antennas with new antenna phase angle for best reception to avoid signal fading (col. 1, line 56 to col. 2, line 26). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Welles with Strenglein's improved reception with automatic antenna steering, such that the receiver could have better reception signal by avoiding multipath fading.

Page 16

Regarding claim 15, Welles teaches the at least one of the receiver signal quality (the evaluation module for determining the best signal strength, abstract, col. 4, line 63 to col. 5, line 14). Baltus teaches the test signal quality comprising at least one of a signal noise (the quality circuit 29 for evaluation of the signal to noise and interference ratio of the combined signal from the receiver 22 during scanning mode).

11. Claims 19, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baltus in view of Wildhagen-'955 A1.

Regarding claim 19, Wildhagen teaches the first and second RF signals comprising FM signals in range of about 88 MHz through about 108 MHz ([0012, 0019-0020, 0025], Fig. 1-2), for improving the multipath distortion of the received broadcast signal (abstract), having combined antenna weight adjustment based on the field strength and quality Q1-Q2, of the difference, sum signal (Fig. [0009-0013], for reducing the noise and multipath distortion in FM frequency band (abstract). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 2685

in the art at the time of invention to modify Strenglein above with Wildhagen's improving multipath distortion of the received signal from FM frequency band, such that the radio could receive better FM signal with less multipath distortion.

Page 17

Regarding claim 25, Wildhagen teaches the first and second RF signals comprising FM signals in range of about 88 MHz through about 108 MHz ([0012, 0019-0020, 0025], Fig. 1-2).

12. Claims 20-21, 23, 26-27 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Baltus in view of Kuo-'865.

Regarding **claim 20**, Kuo teaches the rf signals comprise radio data service RDS signals. However, Kuo teaches the first and second rf signals comprise RDS signals (decoding of RDs signals at RDS decoder 34, from the signal output of summer 32, col. 3, lines 40-43, Fig. 5), the steering antenna weight for combining signals from antennas 24, 25 having tuners 27-28 and the generating new antenna pattern (Fig. 5, abstract; col. 1, lines 6-11; col. 4, lines 56 to col. 5, line 18), the antenna configuration 45, the noise modification control 44 (Fig. 5), the combining MPX signal at summer 32. Kuo teaches a proportional diversity system for improving the reception signal by reducing the adjacent channel, co-channel interference (col. 1, line 22 to col. 2, line 9). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify Strenglein above with Kuo's RDS signal, such that receiver could receive better RDS information.

Regarding **claim 21**, Kuo teaches the first and second signals comprise at least one of an intermediate frequency IF signal and a multiplex MPX signal (the down converted IF signals from tuner 27-28, Fig. 5, the combining of MPX signals at combiner 32.

Regarding claim 23, Kuo the generating audio signal in response to the receiver signal (the audio processor 35 in Fig. 5, for producing stereo audio, col. 3, lines 43-49).

Regarding **claim 26**, Kuo teaches the first and second signals comprise at least one of an intermediate frequency IF signal and a multiplex MPX signal (the down converted IF signals from tuner 27-28, Fig. 5, the combining of MPX signals at combiner 32.

Regarding claim 27, first and second rf signals comprise RDS signals (decoding of RDS signals at RDS decoder 34, from the signal output of summer 32, col. 3, lines 40-43, Fig. 5).

Response to Arguments

13. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-5, 9-32 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Regarding applicant's amendment argued about the no teachings for Baltus-'247 and Stenglein-'633 for the first mixer circuit is operable to reset the combination of the first and second signals for the receiver signal in response to the test signal when the test signal quality exceeds the receiver signal quality (amendment pate 10-11), Baltus does teaches the resetting of the combination of the two antenna signal with different phase by the phase shifter, to obtain a updated beam at combiner found in beam scanning testing mode with best signal to noise interference ratio (abstract, Fig. 9, col.2, lines 35-42; col. 7, lines 9-18; col. 8, lines 38-43), the best received, quality exceeding, signal having first and second phase shifter, to reset the antenna signal combination with different phase shift (col. 10, lines 46-53; col. 10, lines 18-45).

Besides, <u>Strenglein also teaches the above resetting features</u> (the resetting of the signal combination at hybrid mixer 60, 61, for the two down converted IF signal, for the reset combination of phase sifted signal output from phase shifter 56, 57 under control of 67, 68,

Application/Control Number: 10/040,857 Page 19

Art Unit: 2685

for resetting the phase shifted signal combination of the first, second signal of the received signals from antenna 10-11, to maximize signal to noise ratio, abstract, Fig. 6, col. 5, line 44 to col. 6, line 9).

14. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

15. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Charles Chow whose telephone number is (571) 272-7889. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00am-5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Urban can be reached on (571) 272-7899. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published

Art Unit: 2685

Page 20

applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-

217-9197 (toll-free).

Charles Chow 2.C.

May 2, 2005.

EDWARD F. URBANI

SUPERVISORY PATER E